

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,743	03/06/2002	Danielle A. Thomas	01-C-086 (STMI01-01086)	8460
7:	590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
Lisa K. Jorgenson, Esq.			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D	
STMicroelectro				
1310 Electronics Drive ART UNIT PA		PAPER NUMBER		
Carrollton, TX 75006			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/091,743	THOMAS ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amondmy	ont concelling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submilled in a separale,	umely med amending	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3-9 and 37-40. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 37-40.		II be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional amended subject matter to the claim languages such as "wherein fabricating the redistribution metal layer comprising fabricating a vertical plug in electrical connection with a metal layer associated with the active circuit area and depositing a metal layer in electrical connection with the vertical plug" as now cited in claim 1 has narrow the scope of the claims, which raises new issues would require further consideration and/or search.

MINHTRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER